

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,022	07/	/16/2003	William Franklin Burgoyne JR.	06318 USA	1155
23543	7590	02/22/2006	EXAMINER		
		D CHEMICAL	TRUONG, DUC		
PATENT DE 7201 HAMII			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ALLENTOWN, PA 181951501				

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>''</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,022	BURGOYNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc Truong	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY IN	DN. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	December 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	ation No				
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been recei-	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail (Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	франция (1 10 102)				

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

The last office action is hereby withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments. However, a new ground of rejection is cited herein.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-24 and 26-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,060,170. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference is the instant claims disclose the grafting component is a hydroxyalkyl group whereas the claims of the reference disclose the use of a hydroxyl containing aromatic group. However, they have the same functionality since they are grafted to the aryl radicals in the main chain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the claimed hydroxyalkyl group by hydroxyl containing aromatic group since they have

Art Unit: 1711

been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said replacement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3